

## **REGIONAL DISTRICT OF CENTRAL KOOTENAY**

## Memo to General Manager of Development and Community Sustainability Services

Date of Memo: December 13, 2021
Author: Eileen Senyk, Planner

**Subject:** Environmentally Sensitive Development Permit

File: DP2116A-05497.170-Kehler-DP000121

Owner	David and Rhonda Kehler
Civic Address	12523 Lewis Bay Road, Boswell
Legal Description	STRATA LOT 17, PLAN NES75, DISTRICT LOT 5027, KOOTENAY LAND DISTRICT, TOGETHER WITH AN INTEREST IN THE COMMON PROPERTY IN PROPORTION TO THE UNIT ENTITLEMENT OF THE STRATA LOT AS SHOWN ON FORM V
PID	029-641-608
Lot Size	0.15 hectares

## PROPOSAL:

The proposed development within the 15 m Environmentally Sensitive Development Permit (ESDP) area consists of a water line to service the new residence, and a 1 m wide elevated ramp, stairway and/or pervious pathway (15 m2) to access the foreshore of Kootenay Lake. Total disturbance is estimated at ~17 m2 within the ESDP area. The proposed residence is sited approximately 16 m from the natural boundary of Kootenay Lake at its closest point.

Electoral Area	A
Development Permit Area	Environmentally Sensitive Development Permit

Guidelines	Meets?	Comments
All development proposals subject to	Yes	Section 3.1 of the report the QEP notes that a
this permit will be assessed by a		detailed assessment of the subject property
Qualified Environmental		was conducted to calculate the SPEA for
Practitioner (QEP) in accordance with		Kootenay Lake pursuant to the Riparian Areas
the Riparian Areas Regulation		Regulation Methods (Section 3).
established by the		

Memo to General Manager

Provincial and/or Federal governments		
as used elsewhere in the Province		
A WDP shall not be issued prior to the RDCK ensuring that a QEP has submitted a report certifying that they are qualified to carry out the assessment, that the assessment methods have been followed, and provides in their professional opinion that a lesser setback will not negatively affect the functioning of a watercourse or riparian area and that the criteria listed in the Riparian Areas Regulation has been fulfilled.	Yes	Section 1 of the report titled 'Riparian Assessment 12523 Lewis Bay Road' and dated August 26, 2021 (hereafter referred to as 'the report') notes the following:  • The report was prepared by Jennifer Ross, M.Sc., P.Chem. and reviewed by Fiona Lau B. Tech, A. Sc. T.  The following statement is provided: 'I, Fiona Lau, hereby certify that: a) I am a Qualified Environmental Professional (QEP), as defined in Section 21 of the Riparian Areas Protection Regulation made under the
		Riparian Areas Protection Act;  b) I am qualified to carry out the assessment of the proposal made by the owner (Dave Kehler), which is described in Section 2.3 of this Assessment Report (the "development proposal");
		c) I have carried out an assessment of the development proposal, and my assessment is set out in this Assessment Report; and d) In carrying out my assessment of the development proposal, I have followed the specifications of the Riparian Areas Protection Regulation and assessment methodology set out in the Minister's manual.
		Section 9 of the report concluded that:  '(i) if the development is implemented as proposed, or (ii) if the streamside protection and enhancement areas identified in the report are protected from the development, and (iii) if the developer implements the measures identified in the report to protect the integrity

Memo to General Manager

		those areas from the effects of the development, then there will be no harmful alteration, disruption or destruction of natural features, functions and conditions that support fish life processes in the riparian assessment area.
The Riparian Areas Regulation implemented through the WDP does not supersede other Federal, Provincial and or local government requirements, including that of other development permit areas, building permits, flood covenants, Federal or Provincial authorization. Land subject to more than one development permit area designation must ensure consistency with the guidelines of each development permit area, to provide comprehensive stewardship of both fish and wildlife habitat.	Yes	Construction of the home is situated outside of the ESDPA and there are no other Development Permits required for building permit issuance. There is a restrictive covenant registered on the property's title. The existing covenant on title prohibits the construction of buildings, structures, or improvements of any kind on the portion of land outlined in black on the property survey. It is the Owner's intent to have the covenant either removed or revised to meet the riparian assessment findings and recommendations.  Any works within or adjacent to a stream are subject to the Provincial Water Sustainability Act. This includes Water Licences for domestic use or Changes in and About a Stream.

Zoning	Country Residential (R2)	
ОСР	Country Residential (CR)	
ALR	Not applicable	
Floodplain	Floodplain Setback: 15m from Kootenay Lake	
NSFEA	Not applicable	
Geohazard	Not applicable	
Archeology Sites	Site DiQe-3 is located over 100m to the south. This is a post	
	contact rail and transportation site.	
Within RDCK Water System	Not applicable	
Relevant Covenants and Reports	No build no disturb covenant within 15m of Kootenay Lake	
	registered at the time of subdivision. Line indicated on	
	reference plan EPP16547 does not align with SPEA	
	identified in the Riparian Assessment. Recommend	
	discharging covenant when the Development Permit is	
	issued.	
<b>Review Title for Miscellaneous Charges</b>	There is a building scheme registered on the title.	

Memo to General Manager

KOOTENAY LAKE SHORELINE INVENTORY:	
Aquatic Habitat Index Rating	Low
Erosion	Moderate
Vegetation	Not identified in KLP report
Site Sensitivity	Environment (E)
Enhanced Engagement	Yes
Critical White Sturgeon Habitat	No
Archeological Values	Yellow Zone (Y)

REFERRAL COMMENTS:		
MFLNRORD Habitat Biologist	- The Ministry of Fores Rural Development - reviewed this Enviro application on Octob long as the mitigatio Environmental Const Riparian Assessment project should not in legislated responsibi  - Vegetation clearing s for nesting birds (i.e.	sts, Lands, Natural Resource Operations & - Terrestrial Resource Management Division - nmentally Sensitive Development Permit per 27, 2021. FLNRORD has determined that as n and compensation outlined in Masse altants Ltd's 12523 LEWIS BAY RD BOSWELL, BC n, dated August 26, 2021, are adhered to, this npact the Resource Management Division's lities.  Should adhere to the least risk timing windows construction activities should occur only od). Nesting birds and some nests are
	protected by the Pro Migratory Bird Act. N professional. Genera designed to avoid th	vincial Wildlife Act Sec.34 and Federal lesting periods can be identified by a qualified I least risk windows for bird species are e nesting period. If nests are present at this site will be impacted by the works, the following
	Raptors (eagles, hawks, falcons, & owls)	Aug 15 – Jan 30
	Herons	Aug 15 – Jan 30
	Other Birds	Aug 1 – March 31
Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure	noted on site inspection that property was causing trackin some large sized gravels wer was still mud on the road. Po	cerns with the proposed development. It was the existing driveway surfacing created for the g of materials onto Lewis Bay Rd. It looked as if e placed to try to prevent this, however there essibly this was from before the gravels were excess permits for side roads such as this, but

offer the attached guidelines for property owners to consider when
constructing driveways for creating a safe and sustainable access. Issues
caused by inferior driveway installation are the responsibility of the
property owner to remedy.
Thanks for the opportunity to review.

Respectfully submitted,

Signature

Name

Eileen Senyk, Planner

CONCURRENCE Initials:

Planning Manager

General Manager of Development Services

## **ATTACHMENTS:**

Attachment A – Development Permit