



# Community Survey Results

Land Use Planning in  
Electoral Area D

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Introduction ..... 03
- Results by Community ..... 05
- Ainsworth ..... 05
- Allens Subdivision ..... 11
- Argenta ..... 16
- Back Road ..... 22
- Birchdale ..... 27
- Copper Creek ..... 32
- Fletcher Falls ..... 37
- Hamill Creek ..... 42
- Howser ..... 47
- Johnsons Landing ..... 52
- Kaslo Corridor ..... 57
- Lardeau ..... 64
- Lease (Loki) Lot ..... 73
- Meadow Creek ..... 78
- Mirror Lake ..... 83
- Pine Ridge ..... 88
- Schroeder Creek ..... 93
- Shutty Bench ..... 100
- Woodbury ..... 105

## Introduction

This questionnaire is part of the Electoral Area D Community Land Use Planning Conversations, which will be used by the Regional District to better understand different community's needs and their level of desire for land use planning. Area D has 24 unincorporated communities with different levels of development – currently only Ainsworth has zoning.

In 2020, the RDCK Board passed a resolution brought forward by Local Area Director Aimee Watson to host events to allow residents to ask questions and find out the regulations applicable with land use planning tools such as zoning. This was in response to receiving interest from Area D residents in exploring land use regulations as a way to address community concerns around land use, growth and increased development. With communities expressing increasing pressures, this is a good time to ask if land use planning is a tool communities would like or not. To ascertain where, what and if, we engaged the whole of Area D, not just the communities who are interested in land use regulation.

Community conversations were held remotely in March and April 2021 with members of different communities. As a follow up Director Watson and the Area D Advisory Planning Commission requested this questionnaire to ensure everyone including those that did not attend these meetings could provide their feedback.

The purpose of the questionnaire was to:

- a) Hear from Area D community members about what is important to their community, the issues they may be experiencing, and the issues that they want to avoid in the future; and,
- b) Understand if there is interest from different communities to explore land use planning as a tool to address community concerns or not.

The questionnaire was available from May 25<sup>th</sup>, 2021 to July 14<sup>th</sup>, 2021. RDCK received 234 responses from 19 of the 24 communities<sup>1</sup>. The questionnaire was available digitally through survey monkey or as a paper version. 224 responses were received online and 10 paper copies were received. The questionnaire was advertised by posters, social media, RDCK project website, community email lists, and an insert in the Valley Voice.

This report displays the results of the questionnaire sorted by Community (responses to Question 12).

<sup>1</sup> The population of Area D is 1,343 (2016 Statistics Canada).

# Electoral Area D



**Legend**

Electoral Areas

**Map Scale:**  
1:577,791



Date:  
March 11, 2021

REGIONAL DISTRICT  
OF CENTRAL KOOTENAY  
Box 590, 202 Lakeside Drive,  
Nelson, BC V1L 5R4  
Phone: 1-800-268-7325  
www.rdck.bc.ca  
maps@rdck.bc.ca

The mapping information  
shown are approximate  
representations and should  
be used for reference  
purposes only.  
The Regional District of  
Central Kootenay is not  
responsible for any errors or  
omissions on this map.



Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

## Results by Community

### Ainsworth

5 responses received.

#### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	60.0%	3
Pedestrian friendly	40.0%	2
Intact natural environment	100.0%	5
Rural character (low density)	40.0%	2
Residential use only	20.0%	1
Neighbourliness	20.0%	1
Access to Kootenay Lake	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	20.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Save the lake shore from the need for dock. 1200 meters of fish and wildlife habitat destroyed over the past 12 months due to no proper environmental impact study and evidence been understood

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- Yes let's save our shorelines
- development needs to be based on what communities want
- N/A
- Protect the environment for the next generation and the animals.
- Unlicensed vehicles parked off owners premises (lack of enforcement of bylaws?)

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Traffic	20.0%	1
Noise	0.0%	0
Unsightliness	40.0%	2
Increased density	40.0%	2
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	0.0%	0
New commercial uses	0.0%	0
New industrial uses	20.0%	1
Water quality/quantity issues	40.0%	2
Uncertainty of future land use	60.0%	3
Negative impacts from Crown land use	40.0%	2
Other (please specify)	60.0%	3
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Logging of private lands and crown
- Over logging

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- It seems no one wants to listen because of the greedy people and money being made off of the trees
- Indiscriminate building of structures for year round living including campers and trailers. These contain wood stoves and tap into water with no waste water controls. Land is cleared and water courses moved or modified without planning
- N/A
- We need to live in harmony with nature and protect the last of the rainforest.

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	60.0%	3
Agree	40.0%	2
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	80.0%	4
Important	20.0%	1
Slightly Important	0.0%	0
Not Important	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Information about land use planning c		
Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	20.0%	1
Agree	40.0%	2
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	40.0%	2
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- Not at this time
- We need to reduce logging and create sustainable practices.
- Enforcement of bylaws and land use plan

#### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	40.0%	2
No	0.0%	0
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	20.0%	1
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	40.0%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Yes but restrictions should allow for recreational use in most cases but year round living needs to be discouraged
- See planning proposal

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?

Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	0.0%	0
More engagement to hear from community members	33.3%	2
Begin the land use planning process for my community	33.3%	2
No further action	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	33.3%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>


Other:

- Enforce existing bylaws

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?





Other:

- Phone

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	20.0%	1
No	80.0%	4
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- Area D is seeing more and more people both as residents and as non residents for recreational use. As density increases, proper controls need to be in place that still allow people to enjoy the area but also so that waste including raw sewage is properly disposed of. Currently land is deforested, reshaped with water courses moved, and accesses built without any planning. This changes the amounts and direction of spring runoff and heavy rains. This affects everyone down hill at a minimum. Any residency that involves any work to an existing landscape should be subject to a plan that is reviewed by everyone that it could affect. The existing drainage should never be altered without a responsible plan and that should include clearing of slopes or altering slopes.
- N/A
- It's essential to move in a new direction with respect to our natural resources. We need to protect and preserve the environment and protect the animals. We need to find new sustainable practices in

community that focus on the long term welfare of our people and our environment. Unchecked timber harvest must become only our past practices.

- No taxation increase without enforcement of bylaws

## Allens Subdivision

7 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	71.4%	5
Pedestrian friendly	14.3%	1
Intact natural environment	57.1%	4
Rural character (low density)	71.4%	5
Residential use only	14.3%	1
Neighbourliness	14.3%	1
Access to Kootenay Lake	42.9%	3
Other (please specify)	14.3%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- By-products of a home-based business (Towing Business) ending up parked along our streets on other people's property and generally clogging the road

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- Rural BC should be quiet and peaceful. That's why we leave the big city to avoid listening to loud vehicles or excessively loud music. A town operator (who has been told many times by MOT and RDCK to keep his junk on his own lot, continues to spill into the countryside. He has dozens of dead vehicles on his property, has moved some of his vehicles across the road onto someone else's property and repeatedly parks accident vehicles on our street. Apparently we have no bylaws here. It would seem to me that whatever Ainsworth Hotsprings enjoys for Bylaws, Kaslo should enjoy also (no more than two stored vehicles on your property as a start)

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
	Percentage	Count
Traffic	0.0%	0
Noise	57.1%	4
Unsightliness	28.6%	2
Increased density	57.1%	4
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	14.3%	1
New commercial uses	0.0%	0
New industrial uses	28.6%	2
Water quality/quantity issues	42.9%	3
Uncertainty of future land use	28.6%	2
Negative impacts from Crown land use	42.9%	3
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- climate change and impact on water and potential for increased frequency and severity of wildfire should be a priority for management and mitigation
- The level of tourism we have now is fine. Anything beyond that (huge resort or ski facility) would ruin it. There is currently heli-skiing and that is as far as it should go. Overloading our neighbourhood to make it more like Banff or Whistler would completely wreck the rural nature. Ideally natural hiking trails are the best.

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
	Percentage	Count
Strongly Agree	85.7%	6
Agree	14.3%	1
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	85.7%	6
Important	0.0%	0
Slightly Important	14.3%	1
Not Important	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	28.6%	2
Agree	42.9%	3
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	28.6%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- No responses received

#### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	57.1%	4
No	0.0%	0
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	14.3%	1
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	28.6%	2
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?


Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	0.0%	0
More engagement to hear from community members	16.7%	1
Begin the land use planning process for my community	50.0%	3
No further action	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	33.3%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

Other:

- Keep us up-to-date by email or the mail-based flyer that brought me to this questionnaire. Keep us in the loop...as you're currently doing.
- Share the results

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	14.3%	1
No	85.7%	6
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- KEEP UNSIGHTLY INDUSTRIAL AREAS OFF THE VISUAL CORRIDOR (HIGHWAYS)
- I like the engagement with the public. The Allen Subdivision could use some by-laws. Some homeowners don't seem to consider the impact their actions have on their neighbours. Snow removal is especially difficult with the dead cars around the place. This same tow operator also has a nasty habit of pushing snow from the edges of the street into the middle of the road after YRB has done their pass. By-Laws need teeth in order to get the point across. Constantly telling him "Don't Do That" isn't very effective. I am not concerned generally about what somebody parks on their own property, but when it spills into the countryside, it becomes a concern. Loose dogs are a problem also. Some well written and enforced by-laws could help address many of these issues.
- I did not hear news of the community meetings and would like to hear more about what was shared.

## Argenta

11 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	72.7%	8
Pedestrian friendly	9.1%	1
Intact natural environment	72.7%	8
Rural character (low density)	81.8%	9
Residential use only	0.0%	0
Neighbourliness	45.5%	5
Access to Kootenay Lake	36.4%	4
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>11</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- emergency preparedness and equipment to fight wildfires since most community members don't seem to want to firesmart.
- I don't think our population is growing all that much but our number of households is. Each new one is another center of bear attractants, dog issues, lawn and landscape machinery (weed whackers, large riding mowers etc.) and woodworking machines being used outside (planer e.g. sanders etc.). And roosters it's no accident they are by and large not allowed in towns and cities.

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.



Answer Choices	Responses	
	Percentage	Count
Traffic	30.0%	3
Noise	30.0%	3
Unsightliness	20.0%	2
Increased density	50.0%	5
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	10.0%	1
New commercial uses	10.0%	1
New industrial uses	40.0%	4
Water quality/quantity issues	30.0%	3
Uncertainty of future land use	0.0%	0
Negative impacts from Crown land use	40.0%	4
Other (please specify)	20.0%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

Other:

- enclosing the community in a park.
- Logging, both on crown land and private lands

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- Yes to more agriculture and eco-tourism, no to more resource extraction including clearcut logging
- Fire smart logging only.
- nope, you've probably heard enough about the topic already.
- What I would like to see is fewer people building more than one domestic residency on a piece of property. People letting their children build a home, then their kids. It's all illegal of course but it isn't being enforced. [unclear] uncontrolled growth. Argenta has become a suburb, without a burb. or any rules.
- We're getting more and more like a suburb rather than a rural place. We like to pretend we are on well separated large acreages - but we are not

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	10.0%	1
Agree	80.0%	8
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	10.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	20.0%	2
Important	60.0%	6
Slightly Important	20.0%	2
Not Important	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	30.0%	3
Agree	20.0%	2
Disagree	20.0%	2
Strongly Disagree	10.0%	1
No Opinion	20.0%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- How it dovetails with crown land usage and planning on a provincial scale.
- The process of gathering information from residents and how much the collected information affects the types of land use planning done. As well as whether or not there is a threshold below which, you cannot judge what "a community" would like? (ie, if a community with a population of 200 only sends in 25 replies to the RD, is this what you will use as indicators of community preference?).
- I strongly agree this should happen. We are no longer an isolated community with people living a long way apart. I hear, through there's people ie the Lardeau Valley are very much not in favour of outside/oversights, control, rules, regulations etc. however necessary. Finding balance between rules and regs and personal freedom is going to be difficult.
- Who has what authority to do what? The conflict is between those who feel we're more and more running into each other in various ways and those who want as much freedom as possible.

#### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	30.0%	3
No	20.0%	2
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	40.0%	4
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	10.0%	1
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?


Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	11.1%	1
More engagement to hear from community members	22.2%	2
Begin the land use planning process for my community	33.3%	3
No further action	22.2%	2
Other (please specify)	11.1%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>9</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>2</b>

Other:

- more examples of relevant land use planning examples.

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- I'm not connected to internet

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	27.27%	3
No	72.73%	8
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>11</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- I, like a lot of people in Argenta, just want to be left alone. Thanks.
- I appreciate the opportunity to watch the presentation and participate in this survey. Good outreach, good job.
- Fire smart logging only. No other commercial logging.
- Hire more staff to: share more examples of what land use planning may look like, and : to take part in more in depth conversations to learn what communities may really want. They may agree to some of the planning once they are included as stakeholders (as opposed to participants) in the process. Thanks for all you are doing so far.
- Good luck. Any new moves into zoning or other external controls on people's lives is going to be resisted, however necessary. Economic Growth? Direction? Complex. Probably painful, as change usually is.
- Forest fire is our greatest threat - our community has been quite resistant to landscape level fire planning/management (Alasifco). This is the only planning that might hello us with forest fire threat. (Fire

safeing around our homes/buildings is of course useful but difficult) By and large I don't think much planning would be tolerated here.

## Back Road

4 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	75.0%	3
Pedestrian friendly	50.0%	2
Intact natural environment	75.0%	3
Rural character (low density)	25.0%	1
Residential use only	50.0%	2
Neighbourliness	0.0%	0
Access to Kootenay Lake	50.0%	2
Other (please specify)	25.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- no more red tape

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- too much regulation makes it difficult for people without a lot of money
- Hard to encapsulate community characteristics in only 3 elements. What I would like to see (what I envision) is a quiet rural residential neighbourhood where I need not worry about logging 50 feet from my back door, eye sore accumulations of old cars and junk, sawmills, pigs & cows, running lodge, hikers traipsing through, damage to water systems

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
	Percentage	Count
Traffic	25.0%	1
Noise	50.0%	2
Unsightliness	75.0%	3
Increased density	25.0%	1
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	0.0%	0
New commercial uses	50.0%	2
New industrial uses	0.0%	0
Water quality/quantity issues	75.0%	3
Uncertainty of future land use	0.0%	0
Negative impacts from Crown land use	75.0%	3
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- too many derelict cars and junk everywhere
- The area should be maintained as a rural residential area (quiet & peaceful) not a light industrial, extensive agricultural, commercial or community recreational. In the past privacy rights have been abused. People wanting to construct bicycle trails etc. and trespassing to access the main road etc. Roadside Junk some times gets out of hand. Concerns about theft and pilfering of firewood. People and pets damage water systems. I would like to explore a Crown land [unclear] to remove WL494 [unclear] where it interacts with residential land. More conversation needed here.

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	25.0%	1
Agree	25.0%	1
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	25.0%	1
No Opinion	25.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	25.0%	1
Important	25.0%	1
Slightly Important	25.0%	1
Not Important	25.0%	1
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	25.0%	1
Agree	25.0%	1
Disagree	25.0%	1
Strongly Disagree	25.0%	1
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- How to get rid of ALR or fix it
- What are the checks and balances available to iron out problems where following the letter of the law is too rigid one way or the other? The process requires a "sober second thought" process to be fair and reasonable. Politics should not enter the process.

#### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.0%	0
No	50.0%	2
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	25.0%	1
Maybe – I need to learn more	50.0%	2
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	25.0%	1
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?


Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	25.0%	1
More engagement to hear from community members	75.0%	3
Begin the land use planning process for my community	0.0%	0
No further action	25.0%	1
Other (please specify)	0.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- What issues or problems do others see or foresee

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- Community meetings (held remotely) too limiting or exclusive. Use a number of methods including public town hall meetings, not just social media.

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.00%	0
No	100.00%	4
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- What community meetings in April? LOTS of us can't do internet! I have to borrow friends computer at his house
- The Kaslo back road has way more high speed traffic. The road isn't very wide (one car width) in some places but still has an 80 kmh speed zone, even through residential areas.
- You must consider what is best for the common good. Vocal interest groups should not necessarily rule the roost only because they are comfortable lobbyists. There is often a somewhat silent majority. Partisan politics in this should be taboo. Industrial uses belong in other than residential neighbourhoods, period end. If an activity does not fit in a residential area make provision for it elsewhere ie public recreation and Buchanan recreation tenure. I have no problem with logging or other industrial or commercial activity but it must be in its place and not detract from others reasonable right to use and quiet enjoyment of their private property.

## Birchdale

2 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	100.0%	2
Pedestrian friendly	0.0%	0
Intact natural environment	50.0%	1
Rural character (low density)	50.0%	1
Residential use only	0.0%	0
Neighbourliness	0.0%	0
Access to Kootenay Lake	100.0%	2
Other (please specify)	50.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Public accesses developed and available for use

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- No responses received

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Traffic	0.0%	0
Noise	0.0%	0
Unsightliness	0.0%	0
Increased density	50.0%	1
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	50.0%	1
New commercial uses	0.0%	0
New industrial uses	0.0%	0
Water quality/quantity issues	50.0%	1
Uncertainty of future land use	0.0%	0
Negative impacts from Crown land use	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	50.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Continued easily accessible boat access from Schroeder Creek.

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- No responses received

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	0.0%	0
Agree	50.0%	1
Disagree	50.0%	1
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	0.0%	0
Important	0.0%	0
Slightly Important	100.0%	2
Not Important	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	0.0%	0
Agree	100.0%	2
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- How could this potentially affect public access to the lake shore?

#### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.0%	0
No	50.0%	1
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	0.0%	0
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	50.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Disagree with Area-wide plan. Should be community-specific

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?


Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	0.0%	0
More engagement to hear from community members	50.0%	1
Begin the land use planning process for my community	0.0%	0
No further action	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	50.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- More engagement, and Keep us informed please

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- Not everyone uses social media

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	50.0%	1
No	50.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- One area-wide planning solution is inappropriate for Area D's diversity. Community-specific is preferred. Some communities might prefer the status quo.
- I do not support this if it opens the possibility that public access (on foot or by boat) to the lakeshore in this area is further restricted.

## Copper Creek

2 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	0.0%	0
Pedestrian friendly	50.0%	1
Intact natural environment	0.0%	0
Rural character (low density)	0.0%	0
Residential use only	0.0%	0
Neighbourliness	50.0%	1
Access to Kootenay Lake	50.0%	1
Other (please specify)	50.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- ALL OF THE ABOVE AND ANY OTHER FALSE PROMISES THAT YOU CAN UP WITH

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- EVERYONE IS IN FAVOR OF MOTHERHOOD, CHILDREN, LONG LIFE, GOOD HEALTH, SUBSTANTIAL WEALTH,

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.



Answer Choices	Responses	
Traffic	0.0%	0
Noise	0.0%	0
Unsightliness	0.0%	0
Increased density	0.0%	0
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	50.0%	1
New commercial uses	50.0%	1
New industrial uses	50.0%	1
Water quality/quantity issues	0.0%	0
Uncertainty of future land use	0.0%	0
Negative impacts from Crown land use	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	50.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- FEAR IS BEING SOLD BY THIS APPROACH

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- INPUT IS BEING SOUGHT - BUT NOT REALLY - IT IS JUST A "MASK" IN ORDER TO SHIELD CIVIL SERVANTS ADVENTURES

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	0.0%	0
Agree	0.0%	0
Disagree	50.0%	1
Strongly Disagree	50.0%	1
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	0.0%	0
Important	0.0%	0
Slightly Important	0.0%	0
Not Important	100.0%	2
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	0.0%	0
Agree	50.0%	1
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	50.0%	1
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- UNLESS YOU OFFER A SIX MONTH COURSE TO EVERYONE - ONE WOULD NOT HAVE THE BASIS FOR AN INFORMED OPINION

#### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	2
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	0.0%	0
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?


Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	0.0%	0
More engagement to hear from community members	0.0%	0
Begin the land use planning process for my community	0.0%	0
No further action	50.0%	1
Other (please specify)	50.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- LEAVE THE STATUS QUO ALONE - MOST DEVELOPMENT WOULD HAVE TO BE "GRANDFATHERED IN" SINCE DEVELOPMENT HAS ALREADY OCCURRED AND SEEMS TO HAVE SOMEHOW WORKED FOR OVER A HUNDRED YEARS

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- FEAR IS BEING MARKETED - LEAVE US ALONE TO PURSUE OUR REAL ISSUES AND REAL EVERYDAY PROBLEMS THAT WE WILL HAVE TO SOLVE ON OUR OWN.

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- USE THE RESOURCES THAT ARE GOING TO BE WASTED ON PLANNING BY APPLYING THEM TO REAL ISSUES
- EG. LACK OF FIRE FIGHTING - MOSQUITO CONTROL - SENIORS HEALTH - KIDS EDUCATION

## Fletcher Falls

5 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	60.0%	3
Pedestrian friendly	0.0%	0
Intact natural environment	40.0%	2
Rural character (low density)	60.0%	3
Residential use only	20.0%	1
Neighbourliness	60.0%	3
Access to Kootenay Lake	20.0%	1
Other (please specify)	40.0%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- No Zoning!. I really like the fact that there is no zoning. It worked for well over 100 years and there is no need for it now!
- Ample access to test the quality of our water.

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- I ticked the "Quiet" box. Motorcycle noise is getting to be a real issue for a lot of area D residents. Aftermarket exhaust systems that grossly violate manufacturers max decibel levels. The RDCK should lobby all governments to enforce existing laws in regards to motorcycle exhaust noise!
- There seems to be more use of ATVs and other noisy vehicles in our residential area. Should be banned.
- As a new resident of this area I value the old road to Kaslo as is and would be sorry to see it paved. The local health center is super valuable and was there when needed after a dog tore open my arm.

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Traffic	20.0%	1
Noise	60.0%	3
Unsightliness	20.0%	1
Increased density	20.0%	1
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	20.0%	1
New commercial uses	40.0%	2
New industrial uses	0.0%	0
Water quality/quantity issues	20.0%	1
Uncertainty of future land use	20.0%	1
Negative impacts from Crown land use	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	60.0%	3
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Tipping fees at the dump are too high. As a direct result we are seeing more and more illegal dumping in this area. Fine Job RDCK!! As far as I'm concerned, the RDCK should be chased out of this area period!
- I would like to see this area remain residential.
- A disregard for forest and land management which increases the fire risk to everyone neighbouring these properties.

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- I'd like to see a plan to remove derelict vehicles.
- The community of Fletcher Creek is a low density residential area which is why we bought a house in this part of Area D. It should remain this way. Recently there have been a number of commercial developments of properties that do not fit with the residential character of the community. Also there have been noise and traffic problems associated with short term tourist use of some homes which makes it very difficult for residents to quietly enjoy their properties.
- There should be a tax reduction incentive for property owners who practise safe forest and dead vegetation clean up every year and following devastating storms.

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	40.0%	2
Agree	40.0%	2
Disagree	20.0%	1
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	40.0%	2
Important	20.0%	1
Slightly Important	0.0%	0
Not Important	40.0%	2
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	40.0%	2
Agree	40.0%	2
Disagree	20.0%	1
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- What limits (if any) are already in place in my community?
- Conserving our local history and preserving our assets for future generations well.

### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	60.0%	3
No	20.0%	1
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	20.0%	1
Maybe – I need to learn more	0.0%	0
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>


### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?

Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	0.0%	0
More engagement to hear from community members	40.0%	2
Begin the land use planning process for my community	40.0%	2
No further action	20.0%	1
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?





### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	20.0%	1
No	80.0%	4
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- Try to maintain the natural environment and keep it from becoming built up/urbanized.
- If there was a Community Conversation for my area I was unaware of it. Either the publicity was lacking or my area was skipped.
- Land use planning is definitely needed these days with the increase in tourism and more people moving into the area. Area D is no longer an undiscovered part of the Kootenays!

## Hamill Creek

4 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	50.0%	2
Pedestrian friendly	25.0%	1
Intact natural environment	75.0%	3
Rural character (low density)	50.0%	2
Residential use only	0.0%	0
Neighbourliness	25.0%	1
Access to Kootenay Lake	50.0%	2
Other (please specify)	25.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Not too restricted

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- Rural People are resourceful and this sometimes takes machines and makes noise

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Traffic	25.0%	1
Noise	50.0%	2
Un sightliness	50.0%	2
Increased density	25.0%	1
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	25.0%	1
New commercial uses	0.0%	0
New industrial uses	25.0%	1
Water quality/quantity issues	50.0%	2
Uncertainty of future land use	0.0%	0
Negative impacts from Crown land use	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	25.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- I respect the need to use our forest resource. Also maintaining agricultural land rather than selling to nature trust

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- There is too much disrespect of forestry when it is a primary resource here. Also farmland going to nature trust is a sad loss

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	50.0%	2
Agree	50.0%	2
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	0.0%	0
Important	50.0%	2
Slightly Important	50.0%	2
Not Important	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	0.0%	0
Agree	75.0%	3
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	25.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- No responses received

#### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	25.0%	1
No	50.0%	2
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	0.0%	0
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	25.0%	1
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>


### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?

Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	0.0%	0
More engagement to hear from community members	0.0%	0
Begin the land use planning process for my community	25.0%	1
No further action	75.0%	3
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	4
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- I think it is tricky to create regulations that allow us to live independent rural lives

## Howser

1 response received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	100.0%	1
Pedestrian friendly	0.0%	0
Intact natural environment	0.0%	0
Rural character (low density)	100.0%	1
Residential use only	100.0%	1
Neighbourliness	0.0%	0
Access to Kootenay Lake	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- I live in Howser and this community seems to be very distrustful of any government proposals. I would like to see some land use planning in order to protect what we have, cause basically it is a free for all here with no control of new residents and their ideas. The population is aging and we will be having an influx of new residents over the next 10 years.

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
	Percentage	Count
Traffic	0.0%	0
Noise	0.0%	0
Un sightliness	100.0%	1
Increased density	0.0%	0
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	100.0%	1
New commercial uses	0.0%	0
New industrial uses	0.0%	0
Water quality/quantity issues	0.0%	0
Uncertainty of future land use	0.0%	0
Negative impacts from Crown land use	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	100.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- logging

**Question 4**

Would you like to tell us more?

- We have a campground at one end and a wood lot on the other end of the community. The campground is here to stay and the wood lot is unsightly and is logged without respect for the community. The idea of a wood lot is to be a steward of the forest and hire locally. That is not the case as a commercial logging operation from Cranbrook was hired to log the owners required quota. The owner does not live in this area so all monies left the area. This wood lot is not beneficial to the community in any way.

**Question 5**

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	100.0%	1
Agree	0.0%	0
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

**Question 6**

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	100.0%	1
Important	0.0%	0
Slightly Important	0.0%	0
Not Important	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	0.0%	0
Agree	100.0%	1
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- No response received

#### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	100.0%	1
No	0.0%	0
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	0.0%	0
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>


### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?

Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	0.0%	0
More engagement to hear from community members	100.0%	1
Begin the land use planning process for my community	0.0%	0
No further action	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- I hope that this turns out to be successful project, I am in total support of land use planning for area D.  
Thank you for the opportunity to voice my opinion

## Johnsons Landing

7 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	71.4%	5
Pedestrian friendly	28.6%	2
Intact natural environment	100.0%	7
Rural character (low density)	85.7%	6
Residential use only	42.9%	3
Neighbourliness	57.1%	4
Access to Kootenay Lake	42.9%	3
Other (please specify)	14.3%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- good clean water, clean air,

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- Regulations to ensure biodiversity protection on private lands - e.g. riparian regulations
- By “residential use only” I mean industrial in nature. Small scale farming is okay 🐔

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
	Percentage	Count
Traffic	28.6%	2
Noise	14.3%	1
Unsightliness	0.0%	0
Increased density	42.9%	3
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	42.9%	3
New commercial uses	0.0%	0
New industrial uses	14.3%	1
Water quality/quantity issues	42.9%	3
Uncertainty of future land use	57.1%	4
Negative impacts from Crown land use	71.4%	5
Other (please specify)	14.3%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- logging,

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- Maintenance of habitat and fire mitigation on adjacent Crown lands is a high priority
- our community had discussions years ago and agreed to low density. But with the current economic/social situation and aging demographic. There will be pressure to subdivide and sell. I value large intact holdings and not a subdivision in my community.

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	71.4%	5
Agree	14.3%	1
Disagree	14.3%	1
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	42.9%	3
Important	28.6%	2
Slightly Important	14.3%	1
Not Important	14.3%	1
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	57.1%	4
Agree	14.3%	1
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	28.6%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- "How specific to our community of Johnsons Landing would it be. How much time would the process take in community meetings and communications?"
- Costs

#### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	42.9%	3
No	28.6%	2
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	14.3%	1
Maybe – I need to learn more	0.0%	0
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	14.3%	1
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>


### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?

Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	0.0%	0
More engagement to hear from community members	28.6%	2
Begin the land use planning process for my community	42.9%	3
No further action	28.6%	2
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- community meetings and informal gatherings
- Community meeting in person outdoors if necessary this summer. I believe seated meetings are going to be able to be a high number of people pretty soon
- direct mail is too slow, emails and posters reach everyone. Use the LINKS website

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	14.3%	1
No	85.7%	6
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>7</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- Let's do it!
- I would like to talk about number 6. Either via phone or in a community meeting.
- There may be community agreement, but never inter community agreement.
- I believe that in Johnson's Landing we can manage without government involvement.



## Kaslo Corridor

35 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	57.1%	20
Pedestrian friendly	45.7%	16
Intact natural environment	65.7%	23
Rural character (low density)	51.4%	18
Residential use only	5.7%	2
Neighbourliness	28.6%	10
Access to Kootenay Lake	60.0%	21
Other (please specify)	11.4%	4
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Economic development
- Clean air
- Must have marina
- Small quiet town

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- limiting 'industrial' development / tackling climate change issues with new technology / maintaining a sustainable relationship between residential areas and our wilderness backyard
- I live in Kaslo. In the last few years along Highway 3A coming into Kaslo there are more and more industrial sites. These businesses have cut down all the vegetation next to the highway. Apart from this unsightliness I wonder what environmental damage is also being done to the lakeside.
- enforce clearing of vehicles that block snow removal
- They are all important to me
- Planning is important ongoing. Thanks for beginning the process. Residential areas do not have to be exclusive for instance business and industry can be a part of integrated zoning considering noise, visual appeal, environmental safety and neighbourhood agreement.
- As a Kaslo resident who frequently walks along 4th Street I would like to see the speed limit coming off the bridge reduced.
- Keep-the-rural-gardening-oldworld-charm-simpleness

- We need business for progress
- I can't believe that with a town that needs tourists to survive there isn't a public marina and boat dock.
- Maintain a vibrant community without heavy commercial i.e MacDoald' A&W etc
- I would like to see affordable housing combined with sustainable management of natural environment
- Kaslo and Area has been known for its friendly people and amazing scenery, lets keep this going!
- I do not want more development at this time until what is happening spiritually is completely understood. Our needs need to be e[unclear] after that as they might chose ch[unclear]

### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Traffic	11.4%	4
Noise	45.7%	16
Un sightliness	28.6%	10
Increased density	8.6%	3
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	14.3%	5
New commercial uses	14.3%	5
New industrial uses	45.7%	16
Water quality/quantity issues	51.4%	18
Uncertainty of future land use	31.4%	11
Negative impacts from Crown land use	42.9%	15
Other (please specify)	17.1%	6
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- No more tourist accommodation
- Airbnb-better-way-to-connect-with-local-than-hotel
- Logging
- Taxes are too high need more business to offset them
- Need of low rental housing
- I understand that development is a necessity and can not be avoided, however there should be a strategic plan to avoid development that will have a negative impact on the environment. Affordable housing is necessary to avoid pushing out local residents as tourists and city people start moving here. Both worlds can be met with proper planning and risk mitigation.

### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- bike & pedestrian friendly highways
- Are jobs the only priority in planning?
- my choice of noise applies to any vehicle but particularly to noisy motorcycles
- Needs to be a shift from heavy industrial logging, switch to low impact sustainable forestry. The industry has overstayed; it's benefits are diminished compared to the need for biological diversity.

- Don't-need-more-hotels-just-look-at-Canmore
- A recent application for a gravel pit in my neighborhood was approved even though there was a lot of opposition. Myself and all my neighbors wrote letters and let our wishes known, now we have noisy, unsightly industry happening at all hours in our neighborhood.
- New industry that employs local
- Wildfire being our biggest threat, we need to work together to become fire adapted communities!
- As I m[unclear] until what is happening spiritually to us is fully understood then any future developments that are planned should be halted as our a[unclear] needs will w[unclear] shift

### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	58.8%	20
Agree	38.2%	13
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	2.9%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>34</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

Other:

- a needs assessment based on what has happened spiritually

### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	65.7%	23
Important	25.7%	9
Slightly Important	8.6%	3
Not Important	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	6.1%	2
Agree	60.6%	20
Disagree	27.3%	9
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	6.1%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>33</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>2</b>

### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- How much Community input is there on a planning application for increased industrial/commercial use? Does a business have to get the approval of a Community, or is it up to the Community to object after an application has been made?
- There needs to be a stated set of goals agreed upon by the community
- If we think Crown land is not well used in a regional district, is there anything that we can do other than try to persuade?
- How to intervene effectively, before a decision is made -- how to insure that citizens' input is taken seriously, and is not just a powerless, meaningless "citizen engagement" sham
- Land use control is currently very lacked - in area D seems regs and rules are not adhered to or no suitable guidelines in place. Do what you want ask for forgiveness after.
- How to have more of a say
- n/a
- Water consumption, waste treatment, designated wildlife sanctuary, how do we manage recycling and waste with increased population and growth?
- I think again the spiritual aspects of what is happening needs to be a[unclear] before any land use planning occurs

### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	67.6%	23
No	2.9%	1
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	14.7%	5
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	8.8%	3
Other (please specify)	5.9%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>34</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

Other:

- If-handled-democratically-by-locals
- Only with significant input from the community, with proper engagement, should not be rushed. I suggest hiring a consultant that specializes in resource management and sustainability

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?


Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	25.7%	9
More engagement to hear from community members	31.4%	11
Begin the land use planning process for my community	25.7%	9
No further action	8.6%	3
Other (please specify)	8.6%	3
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- All the first three items. I can check only one.
- A combination of the first two choices in this question
- people are email swamped, there's still mail.
- We actually need a community meeting at some point

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- Twitter

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	35
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- I do not have internet

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- I live in Kaslo and what happens in the adjacent land is very important to me. The airport and transfer station for example.
- The Kootenays have been "discovered". Deciding the future of this uniquely beautiful part of the world is critical. I know jobs are important, but once our environment and communities are damaged, repair is impossible.
- This needs to be done asap. Recent commercial development in "Kaslo Corridor" south of town are very unsightly and out of control. They will/have altered the "entrance" to town irrecoverably for generations to come. No rules+= no recourse.
- I support higher density, with planning. I would like to stay in this community, but presently there are very few apartments that I could use if I am unable to stay in my house. I think we need thoughtful increased density to meet the needs for myself, but also others who need apartments. I would also like to see dark homes and particularly dark properties made available for housing.
- There are some who would like to do "whatever they please" I would rather see a consensus community planning approach
- I think it is time to release "crown" land for residential use, especially near communities like Kaslo in order to bring affordability back to the less rich people
- Population increase is a given considering the times. Access to water and carefully planned services will be necessary to welcome people to our region.
- It is better to have than none.
- I wasn't aware of any meeting
- Public forums/conversations are always useful, sometimes messy, but useful.
- Restrict aircraft low flying and no site seeing over residential areas.
- Social planning is an asset to every community whether they want it or not!
- Think outside of the box. The Federal government has the mandate to address climate change. We need a strategic plan on managing resources and waste from the lens of sustainability and long-term planning. Meanwhile, how do we create affordable housing for local residents and year-round, long-term employment for youth? How do we protect the lake and deal with noise pollution? People will move here and growth is inevitable, plan accordingly to support local residents and support the environment which is why people are drawn to Kaslo in the first place.
- As I said full disclosure of the background intent to spiritual issues behind planned development need to occur and until this time those unaware of the a[unclear] invasion are intent to develop level for p[unclear] use spiritually is not something understood due to the demographic of people that have

typically populated this area and so until those who are intentful of level for spiritual purposes are open we are at their whim in the planning process. refer to its great to me I move for more info

- I see the need for good recreational area's and trails, but, but in some areas they are too close to residential areas and have impact on those people, Also the wildlife. Example: fecal matter (animal & human), cigarette butts, wrappers, water bottles etc. dogs at large. Buffer zoned between those areas and no access to watershed or wetland - sensitive. In some situations an exchange of woodlot property and RDCK property could help create these buffer zones in areas of concern.

## Lardeau

46 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	46.7%	21
Pedestrian friendly	11.1%	5
Intact natural environment	24.4%	11
Rural character (low density)	48.9%	22
Residential use only	20.0%	9
Neighbourliness	22.2%	10
Access to Kootenay Lake	51.1%	23
Other (please specify)	28.9%	13
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>45</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

Other:

- I like Lardeau as is
- No zoning
- No zoning or OCP
- Not interested in zoning
- No changes
- Like Lardeau the way it is no by laws or changes needed
- What matters most to me is the non-involvement of government, or imposition of rules, and regulations
- I like the community the way it is now
- Like it the way it is
- I like it the way it is
- wildlife aware and wildlife safe: bear aware, snag protection, reptile and amphibian protection
- Lardeau is perfect the way it is
- No changes needed

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- No zoning. Lardeau should stay as it is
- community residents have RESPECT for each other
- Love Lardeau just as it is
- The property owners in most areas are very polite people, no changes necessary.



- The community is fine the way it is.
- I like that the public can access the waterfront by foot and walk it from the north boundary to the Davis Creek campground. I like the low density and if there was a choice above I would have chosen low density residential use only. I chose rural character because I like that there are larger lots so that the density is not as high as it could be, or at least not yet.
- PLEASE LEAVE US ALONE
- LESS GOVERNMENT - LESS REGULATION
- We've owned property in Lardeau for 30+ years, LOTS of change has taken place. Community now a mix of permanent residences & seasonal. Seems many appreciate the quiet laid back nature of the community yet the cumulative effect of development has resulted in increased density, an attitude that "anything goes", "don't tell me what I can and cannot do", a disregard for any authority (ie as it relates to Right of Ways (yes, RDCK has no control over that but I'm illustrating a point) & lack of respect (perhaps not widespread, but certainly evident & becoming a real issue (COVID/lockdown issues perhaps, but much greater than that) ) for neighbours' right to peace and quiet.
- No zoning and minimal government interference is part of the quality of life here.
- Against zoning
- Like it the way it is.
- The RDCK should understand that the north end of Kootenay Lake is under intense development pressure, and should resist it while continue to study the repercussions of Coast-centric, Alberta-centric and Okanagan-centric development. These are profit, not biosphere, oriented. There is no 'profit' when the entire substrate of life on earth is undermined by human interests and expansion onto the land.

### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Traffic	23.8%	10
Noise	16.7%	7
Unsignliness	2.4%	1
Increased density	9.5%	4
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	7.1%	3
New commercial uses	4.8%	2
New industrial uses	14.3%	6
Water quality/quantity issues	4.8%	2
Uncertainty of future land use	21.4%	9
Negative impacts from Crown land use	28.6%	12
Other (please specify)	54.8%	23
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>42</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>4</b>

Other:

- Leave Lardeau as is
- No zoning. Lardeau should stay as is

- Change that will be hard to manage by land use planning without causing a fair amount of dissension. Our community is changing to a summer resort community, with mini (invitee) campgrounds of family and friends - ie from Alta or the Okanogan. It is a couple of months that we have to put up with
- No zoning or OCP
- No concerns
- The ALR is planning gone wrong. It has done NOTHING to protect agricultural land and everything to make land use complicated. NO planning is good planning.
- Leave it the way it is
- Avoid RV park development
- I'm happy with Lardeau as it is
- fire mitigation there is none
- fire mitigation for possible wild fire we need to do more in park and crown land
- VIEWING CITIZENS AS "MONKEYS" AS PER MONKEY SURVEY IS INAPPROPRIATE
- No issues
- No issues, love it just as it is.
- Reduced access to waterfront by property owners disguising public access areas adjacent to their properties or in front of their properties (ie waterfront), (one only need look at North bay of Lardeau - newish residences, disregard for access of others). Now waterfront lots are for sale/have sold on east facing shoreline, are we going to have the same issues/problems?
- None of these are a problem
- I am not currently concerned about any of the above
- I like it as it is. No change
- Like it the way it is.
- I like it the way it is
- Lardeau is heavily impacted by truck and trailer traffic noise/speed. So are the other small communities at the north end of Kootenay Lake. People want to have their businesses (furniture building and milling), rentals (hidden cabins = cash money), RV family accommodation and the rest, all without tax, because BCAssessment doesn't do assessments and hasn't for decades. The residents, the full time residents, of this small land base are paying, while others are using it to the hilt, Few are actually paying their fair share of tax. And, the RV are LAUGHING about it.
- Burning of garbage and dumping of waste in and around community. Using Public land with disrespect and with no thought for others or the future. Renting private land for trailer camping
- Keep Lardeau as it is. No Planning needed
- No planning needed. Lardeau is great as is.

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- No zoning
- I expect more/different community issues in the future with the dramatic increase in house prices. The population mix will change again.
- No Zoning please
- Lardeau should remain as it is.....Beautiful
- No change
- I want to avoid more traffic, more people, smaller lots (current rush for 25foot lots for sometimers). I want to avoid the encroachment of 'privatizing' lands adjacent to one's property; eg accretions, 'adopting' roadways and alleys as one's own and cutting down trees, shrubbery as a 'cleanup' in spite of people not

authorized to do so. I want to avoid the increased use of some private property owners offering their yards for campers, tourists, etc

- The ALR has only served to reduce taxes for high value lakefront properties. Tracts that might have been true agricultural lands have been subdivided beyond usefulness. Planning just adds red tape, cost, and gives the wealthy an advantage.
- THIS IS NOT A SURVEY SINCE IT IS STATISTICALLY INVALID
- LOCAL CITIZENS IN EACH COMMUNITY MUST BE LEFT IN CHARGE
- There is crown land that doesn't have overnight camping but people camp over night.
- Keep area as is, development/noise/
- I am concerned that vocal minorities get to drive the conversations
- I would not like to see private campgrounds developed.
- Read between the lines.
- I find it outrageous that the RDCK/MOL/MOF anyone! Cannot protect/enforce the misuse of the riparian zones without [unclear - inclusion?] in the RDCK OCP

### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	14.0%	6
Agree	18.6%	8
Disagree	16.3%	7
Strongly Disagree	37.2%	16
No Opinion	14.0%	6
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>43</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>3</b>

### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	14.0%	6
Important	11.6%	5
Slightly Important	20.9%	9
Not Important	41.9%	18
No Opinion	11.6%	5
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>43</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>3</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	18.6%	8
Agree	44.2%	19
Disagree	4.7%	2
Strongly Disagree	11.6%	5
No Opinion	20.9%	9
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>43</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>3</b>

### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- I do not want land use planning or zoning
- No zoning
- Whether Land/community planning could switch to an issue orientated exercise instead of a cookie cutter land use planning practice
- No zoning in Lardeau please
- Lardeau should remain as is under residential and recreational land
- Please leave Lardeau as the community it is....no changes!
- How to get it under way asap before its too late
- No. I have lived in municipalities with extensive land use planning. It has value in HIGH DENSITY areas, but even then, the little guys always lose to large developers.
- No
- i feel there is no need for land use planning after all information given so far
- ORDINARY CITIZENS (MONKEYS) DO NOT POSSESS AND CANNOT ACQUIRE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION TO MAKE AN INFORMED DECISION ON SUCH A COMPLEX ISSUE AS PLANNING
- BUREAUCRATIC SOLUTIONS LOOKING FOR A PROBLEM IS DANGEROUS
- At this stage, I do not feel I have a strong understanding of the process, whether it is flexible, how it works etc. Perhaps it is on the RDCK website (which is quite difficult to search/find things), but a written synopsis would help.
- We do not need planning.
- Like it the way it is now
- I like it the way it is now.
- Yes. I found the entire 'park' 'planning' exercise alarming and note that actual departments within the RDCK were not in contact with each other. This, especially along the lakefront, is unacceptable. Everything north of Kaslo, on the lake, must be managed so that the lake and adjoining lands are maintained as they currently are. Where properties have taken advantage of inadequate laws or where the law has been misinterpreted such that illegal structures exist, or property boundaries have been wrongly expanded? These situations must be considered one by one and corrected until there is a uniform treatment and a clear public understanding of what the lake and riparian setbacks require. This is a matter of survival.
- makes it a yes or no question but people do what ever they want and you still have to 'rat' on your neighbour to get action i.e. RAPP

### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Yes	8.7%	4
No	71.7%	33
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	2.2%	1
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	10.9%	5
Other (please specify)	8.7%	4
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>46</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- partially, rejecting issues of community concern
- I find the disconnect between what happened in the Lardeau regional 'park' and what many people wanted to be jarring. I don't trust the RDCK to look after the riparian zone, the lakefront or the permanent full time residents of Lardeau.
- I'm not sure there is any point : (
- Lardeau is great as is

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?

Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	4.3%	2
More engagement to hear from community members	6.5%	3
Begin the land use planning process for my community	8.7%	4
No further action	65.2%	30
Other (please specify)	17.4%	8
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>46</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:


- Deal with issues of community concern, encourage tree and branch thinking as opposed to box thinking
- Give this idea up. RDCK seems to keep pursuing things that result in the hiring of more bureaucrats and consultants resulting in more red tape and higher taxes. Try to think out of the box and start eliminating bureaucracy instead.
- Leave lardeau alone
- let us know the outcome of the survey
- USE THE "PLANNING" FUNDING ENVELOPE TO ADDRESS MUCH MORE URGENT MATTERS SUCH AS AFFORDABLE HOUSING, HEALTH CARE, CHILD CARE ETC. ETC.
- THE BEAUCRATIC CANCER IS GROWING - STOP IT!
- More education is essential - in particular to address the skepticism & misinformation (more government, higher taxes).The webinars were useful, though I suspect participation was not representative. Best if

there is a community face to face meeting - as it seems the only way to get more participation & deal with skepticism/misinformation.

- Based on the results of 'surveys' for the "parking lot", I can't trust this survey.

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- [REDACTED] \*personal contact information
- post on local LINKS website, submit an article to Valley Voice
- [REDACTED] \*personal contact information
- display posters on community boards
- THESE ARE ALL EXAMPLES OF "PRETEND" COMMUNICATION
- Real time comm mtngs - wrt Lardeau, a seasonal community, needs to be timed properly as well (summer). RDCK website - difficult to negotiate (I am computer literate)
- There should be no further steps!
- Social media posts don't reach those not using social media. Those on social media are thus able to raise a rabble and avoid any opposition to their position. So, that isn't good.
- Unless people know (posters) it is unlikely that people would visit the website. I think community meetings (held in person) and facilitated by a non partisan professional work.

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	54.3%	25
No	45.7%	21
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>46</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- I think our community is fine the way it is - we have lived here for many years and like it the way it is
- I do not want land use planning or zoning
- No land use planning or zoning in area D
- I believe that land use planning would be more effective applied to issues that the community can agree on instead of a blanket approach. Also a lot of community issues - deals with public land outside the community. The public party might be enticed by the RDCK to be part of the planning (small p) process
- Please leave Lardeau the way it is
- I say no to zoning and OCP, not needed.
- Lardeau is a beautiful community.....no changes necessary.
- No changes to our beautiful community.....the citizens will look after it.
- Let's do it, no more talk and stall
- Why does the RDCK keep revisiting this topic every few years?
- Do not bring zoning into our area
- I am quite leery of this land use planning being implemented based on the results and outcome of the Lardeau Park. I feel too much influence from certain special interests drives things in ways that are not truly representative of the local residents.
- Lardeau is perfect as is and no need for land use planning
- I was not informed about the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?
- Lardeau needs a facilitator to guide this VERY divided community thru the process.
- The common theme with people is that they would like to see Lardeau stay as it is. However they wish to accomplish this by bringing in changes and rules.
- revamp the Lardeau boat launch, the middle section needs the trees removed so there is more parking for trucks and boat trailers Right now there is no room for parking and it will be even less when summer comes. The large rocks should be removed , they are taking up parking spots and look awful
- there needs to be more done at the Lardeau boat launch. there is not enough room to properly park trucks and boat trailers. The mid section needs to be cleared of the trees similar to how provincial park boat launches are done such as Kokanee Park where there is room to launch and then park. Also the large rocks that were placed need to be looked at. The way they are set takes up parking spots and they look out of place.
- i feel Lardeau is perfect just the way it is
- THE SO-CALLED COMMUNITY CONVERSATIONS ARE A MISNOMER - PEOPLE WITH A PARTICULAR AGENDA INITIATED THEM AND THEN FEW PARTICIPATED - A CONVERSATION IT WAS NOT.
- No land use planning please.
- There is no need for land use planning in Lardeau. We bought here a long time ago because of the way it is and would like to see it stay this way.
- Not really wanting any more governance
- PLEASE EXPEND THESE FUNDS ON BETTER HEALTH CARE - TRANSPORTATION - HOUSING - INFRASTRUCTURE -

- As to Lardeau & education of property owners, perhaps some examples of other successes are required. Specifically clearing up misunderstandings about what it is and is not.
- I'm leery of too many rules/regulations, example Installed new \$9000 Generator because of part time Hydro, additional of cost \$5000 for permits and certified electrician. pipe fitter/ electrical inspections.
- No land use planning. No OCP
- Not necessary thank you
- Only that I would prefer not to have it at this time.
- Except for ■ \* personal information and maybe 3 others....NO ONE in Lardeau wants planning! Period! We are not broken and do not need your assistance. We are happy the way it is!
- Am confused about some of the questions, ultimately my husband and I are against zoning in Lardeau
- Like it the way it is
- I like it the way it is. This is why I chose to live here. I don't want to see any changes
- I strongly disagree with land use planning in my community and feel that questions in this survey are worded so as to make land use planning the only option
- I do not agree with planning in Lardeau just so you know ■ \* personal information does not speak for everyone in Lardeau.
- Look to the damage done in the last sixty years to the Gulf Islands, the Lower Mainland, and the Okanagan valley. Don't kill the North Arm of Kootenay Lake and add it to these environmental disasters.
- Good luck
- I don't feel there is any need to change Lardeau. We are happy with the way it is



## Lease (Loki) Lots

2 responses received.

## Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	0.0%	0
Pedestrian friendly	0.0%	0
Intact natural environment	0.0%	0
Rural character (low density)	100.0%	2
Residential use only	0.0%	0
Neighbourliness	0.0%	0
Access to Kootenay Lake	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- No responses received

### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Traffic	0.0%	0
Noise	0.0%	0
Unsightliness	0.0%	0
Increased density	100.0%	2
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	50.0%	1
New commercial uses	0.0%	0
New industrial uses	50.0%	1
Water quality/quantity issues	50.0%	1
Uncertainty of future land use	0.0%	0
Negative impacts from Crown land use	50.0%	1
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- No responses received

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	0.0%	0
Agree	0.0%	0
Disagree	100.0%	2
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	0.0%	0
Important	0.0%	0
Slightly Important	0.0%	0
Not Important	100.0%	2
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

## Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	0.0%	0
Agree	0.0%	0
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	100.0%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- No responses received

### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	2
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	0.0%	0
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>


### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?

Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	0.0%	0
More engagement to hear from community members	0.0%	0
Begin the land use planning process for my community	0.0%	0
No further action	100.0%	1
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- Please note: The "Lease Lots" as defined on your map has been, for some time, renamed "Loki Lots." Could you please change this, as we as a community have worked very hard to achieve this end.

## Meadow Creek

6 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	66.7%	4
Pedestrian friendly	0.0%	0
Intact natural environment	33.3%	2
Rural character (low density)	83.3%	5
Residential use only	0.0%	0
Neighbourliness	66.7%	4
Access to Kootenay Lake	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	33.3%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- CHANGE IS CONSTANT - MOSTLY ONE IS NOT IN CHARGE
- No land use restrictions (within reason)

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- How would land use planning be able to PRACTICALLY address these issues - would it become a crime to be a bad neighbor? for instance. Your view of neighbourliness and my view of neighbourliness may vary substantially
- POLITICIANS ARE ALWAYS "FIGHTING" FOR US AND SELLING "FEAR" WHICH THEY ARE GOING TO SAVE US FROM - THESE ARE FALSE PREMISES AND FALSE PROMISES
- Respectfully, people move to these rural areas to get away from matters such as zoning or regulated land use and usually do not want officials interfering with that.

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
	Percentage	Count
Traffic	0.0%	0
Noise	0.0%	0
Unsightliness	0.0%	0
Increased density	20.0%	1
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	0.0%	0
New commercial uses	0.0%	0
New industrial uses	20.0%	1
Water quality/quantity issues	20.0%	1
Uncertainty of future land use	40.0%	2
Negative impacts from Crown land use	20.0%	1
Other (please specify)	60.0%	3
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

Other:

- Everyone wants to live in peace.
- THESE A MERELY A LIST OF "MOTHERHOOD" ISSUES THAT NO ONE COULD ARGUE WOULD BE BENEFICIAL
- Officials dictating what residents can and cannot do with their land

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- The Pandemic indicates that there is never simple answers to complex problems and issues. Planning is too simple a solution to ongoing and never ending issues. Shadow boxing is never productive
- TYPICAL BUREAUCRATIC LIST OF MATTERS THAT THEY LET ON CAN BE SOLVED BY REGULATION WHEN IN FACT REGULATION WILL BE LARGELY USELESS OTHER THAN VERY COSTLY TO INITIATE AND IMPOSSIBLE TO ADDRESS THE MALADIES
- More options as to why people oppose land use are needed in this survey.

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	0.0%	0
Agree	33.3%	2
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	33.3%	2
No Opinion	33.3%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	33.3%	2
Important	0.0%	0
Slightly Important	0.0%	0
Not Important	50.0%	3
No Opinion	16.7%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	16.7%	1
Agree	33.3%	2
Disagree	16.7%	1
Strongly Disagree	33.3%	2
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- Leave land use planning to Vancouver and Kelowna where it largely ineffective and creates unintended consequences - as it will in Area D
- LAND USE PLANNING IS TOO COMPLEX FOR THE SO-CALLED EXPERTS TO PROPERLY CONCEIVE OF OR IMPLEMENT - THE OPINION OF THE AVERAGE CITIZEN IS IRRELEVANT

#### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	16.7%	1
No	50.0%	3
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	16.7%	1
Maybe – I need to learn more	0.0%	0
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	16.7%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- do not think it is required at this time

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?


Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	0.0%	0
More engagement to hear from community members	33.3%	2
Begin the land use planning process for my community	0.0%	0
No further action	16.7%	1
Other (please specify)	50.0%	3
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Results of questionnaire will be misleading since most people will be unaware that it has happened and most people have more important matters to deal with and wont bother
- STAY OUT OF THE "SAND BOX" THAT YOU JUST CREATED AND ARE GOING TO WASTE A LOT O SCARCE RESOURCES ON
- no further action as this time, revisit every couple of years?

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- Community meetings held remotely are NOT meetings.
- SOCIAL MEDIA HAS PROVEN TO BE A SCOURGE - RDCK CONTINUES TO FAIL IN ITS COMMUNICATION EFFORTS WHILE SPENDING TOO MUCH ON INITIATIVES THAT IT HAS DREAMT UP AND CITIZENS HAVE NOT ASKED FOR.

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	6
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- SURVEY MONKEY - quite a moniker - in other words "survey monkeys" - appropriately changed their name to "MOMENTIVE" whatever that made up word is to connote - HOW MUCH HAS RDCK paid for their services?
- IS IT POSSIBLE TO LEAVE THE NORTH END OF KOOTENAY LAKE OUT OF ALL RDCK INITIATIVES - IT WOULD BE A HEALTHY BREATHE OF FRESH AIR
- I cannot speak for everyone, but for the most part, Meadow Creek residents have no use or want for it!

## Mirror Lake

9 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	66.7%	6
Pedestrian friendly	22.2%	2
Intact natural environment	44.4%	4
Rural character (low density)	77.8%	7
Residential use only	66.7%	6
Neighbourliness	11.1%	1
Access to Kootenay Lake	33.3%	3
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>9</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- I include RV/camping resorts as residential.
- A low density environment is key and currently has been compromised by commercial development. Placing a limit on density of commercial developments is required to bring back the quiet, pedestrian friendly neighborhood that is private and safe. Implementing zoning sooner rather than later is imperative to allow neighborhoods to have a say in future development and to ensure proper care for the environment.
- I consider rural character to include residential use only or low scale agriculture, neighbourliness built from a shared community spirit and the resulting quiet of a mostly undeveloped natural environment.
- We wanted a quiet residential neighborhood, not a business catering to a lot of tourists or people going to questionable seminars.

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
	Percentage	Count
Traffic	25.0%	2
Noise	37.5%	3
Unsightliness	25.0%	2
Increased density	37.5%	3
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	37.5%	3
New commercial uses	25.0%	2
New industrial uses	12.5%	1
Water quality/quantity issues	25.0%	2
Uncertainty of future land use	62.5%	5
Negative impacts from Crown land use	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	12.5%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>8</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

Other:

- Damage to the environment and rural atmosphere

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- Redevelopment of an existing RV resort to relatively high density or high rise housing would totally change the rural environment.
- Increased density has lead to issues with traffic and noise, resulting in an unsafe environment for neighbors. Commercial developments can decrease value of neighboring properties. Additionally, high density development can affect the environmental habitat such as the septic systems and load of nearby properties, which is not taken into consideration. We want to avoid future commercial development and ensure that current bylaws are respected, ensuring action is taken when they are disregarded.
- Uncertainty of future land use covers a wish to prevent industrial development, limit commercial and tourist development in Mirror Lake as well as limit increases in residential density. I've already seen poor quality logging on adjacent crown land and unnecessary risk to our water source so wish that curtailed.
- Construction noise and noise from guests have been issues, along with the speed of traffic on our dirt road where residents walk.

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	88.9%	8
Agree	0.0%	0
Disagree	11.1%	1
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>9</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	77.8%	7
Important	11.1%	1
Slightly Important	0.0%	0
Not Important	11.1%	1
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>9</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	25.0%	2
Agree	62.5%	5
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	12.5%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>8</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- We understand the current limitations of land use planning and would like to know what actions can be taken in the near term to resolve these limitations. (Ex. Lack of enforcement when bylaws are broken, Lacking adequate environmental regulations for development permitting resulting in pushing all permits forward).
- How to ensure existing operations have a viable path forward but also to ensure their unregulated growth or change is not allowed.

### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	77.8%	7
No	11.1%	1
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	11.1%	1
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>9</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>


### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?

Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	11.1%	1
More engagement to hear from community members	0.0%	0
Begin the land use planning process for my community	77.8%	7
No further action	11.1%	1
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>9</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	66.7%	6
No	33.3%	3
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>9</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- No.
- High traffic density on a residential road has brought life-threatening situations to neighbours due to guests driving to and from resort.
  - Provisions on amount of deforestation both along the waterfront in the Riparian Zone and >30m on the property.
  - Existing residential properties should not be threatened by new commercial developments without discussion, therefore zoning is imperative, now.
  - Environmental impacts through development permits are not adequate, resulting in harmful effects that will last decades to come.
  - High density commercial developments create load effects on neighboring residences and waterbodies as a result of septic overload.
  - High density tenting, camping on commercial property results in overload to septic not taken into consideration when building permit is put into place.
  - Land use zoning should include ways for property owners to have more control over issues in their immediate surroundings. Ex. 10 properties on a subdivision road, they should be able to make decisions by vote.
  - Guests from commercial property exploring private property of neighbours is disrespectful
  - Commercial property designed for illegal substance use and distribution, how is this regulated? Not having zoning is furthering these problems.
  - As area is being developed quickly and the wait of 5 years has already occurred, our Mirror Lake area should be reviewed and processed sooner than 2022. The demand has been confirmed and the stress, lack of sleep and anger it has caused for residents is truly unbearable. Our safety has been compromised and until DENSITY is regulated, we continue to be at risk.
- In general I believe in a low level of regulation and oversight but having seen what has gone on locally and knowing with an aging population we have potential for much change in the next couple of years I believe it is important to get controls in place now before more problems like [REDACTED] \*  
**personal information** occur.
- We have already had to accept a large business coming into our midst, and really hope to move ahead with planning and be able to forestall any further development.

## Pine Ridge

4 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	75.0%	3
Pedestrian friendly	25.0%	1
Intact natural environment	25.0%	1
Rural character (low density)	75.0%	3
Residential use only	25.0%	1
Neighbourliness	25.0%	1
Access to Kootenay Lake	50.0%	2
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- No response received

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.



Answer Choices	Responses	
Traffic	25.0%	1
Noise	75.0%	3
Unsightliness	25.0%	1
Increased density	50.0%	2
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	25.0%	1
New commercial uses	25.0%	1
New industrial uses	25.0%	1
Water quality/quantity issues	50.0%	2
Uncertainty of future land use	0.0%	0
Negative impacts from Crown land use	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- No response received

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	50.0%	2
Agree	50.0%	2
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	75.0%	3
Important	25.0%	1
Slightly Important	0.0%	0
Not Important	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

## Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	0.0%	0
Agree	50.0%	2
Disagree	25.0%	1
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	25.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- No responses received

### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	50.0%	2
No	0.0%	0
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	25.0%	1
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	25.0%	1
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>


### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?

Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	0.0%	0
More engagement to hear from community members	25.0%	1
Begin the land use planning process for my community	75.0%	3
No further action	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- FB is not very effective

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	3
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

#### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- Find a way to control motorcycle noise! I hear it from the hwy now and the rudeness and dangerous way drivers on the roads. I wish I had a dollar for every tailgater and double line passer that I come in contact with every season.

## Schroeder Creek

20 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	65.0%	13
Pedestrian friendly	25.0%	5
Intact natural environment	35.0%	7
Rural character (low density)	40.0%	8
Residential use only	60.0%	12
Neighbourliness	25.0%	5
Access to Kootenay Lake	40.0%	8
Other (please specify)	30.0%	6
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>20</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Less rules and regulations
- Reduced government
- No light industrial such as automotive repair, welding, or fabrication
- We have a respectful community where people may enjoy their property without a disproportionate and costly amount of regulation. We and many of our neighbours came to this community because it offers something different than an urban city environment.
- Freedom to make choices without excessive government regulation
- No government intrusion.

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- Left big city for less rules and regulations
- Quality of life, freedom from city life
- We do not have sidewalks and do not want large trucks (more than 1 ton) coming and going and creating noise and a hazard for pedestrians and pets.
- I am very concerned that many of these survey questions are leading and designed to elicit responses that are not objective or balanced making it difficult to answer many of the questions
- We have a sense of shared community values that has effectively addressed land use issues for years in Schroeder Creek. We also have a restrictive covenant, but we have not had to use it as an enforcement mechanism.

- There's plenty of room out here for everybody. we do not need restrictions on development. without development there will be more ghost towns.
- I would like to see limitations on what can be stored in a residential yard/property.
- We have a parcel behind us that runs parallel to the north side of the subdivision. It runs parallel with Schroeder Creek. Our biggest fear is this parcel getting developed into commercial properties. The neighborhood residents have been told to "trust" the owner of this parcel and that it will not get developed into commercial entities. We feel getting it zoned residential is in the best interest of the community.
- Multifamily units for more rental potential
- Want our community not to have restrictions on what we can do on our property other than what's in our restrictive covenants. Only single family homes with no commercial other than the existing campground. Keep it like it currently is.
- I do not want any industrial activity in the community. Air BnB is OK, so long as the property owner is present.
- Neighbours communication

### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
	Percentage	Count
Traffic	20.0%	4
Noise	35.0%	7
Unsightliness	30.0%	6
Increased density	15.0%	3
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	5.0%	1
New commercial uses	40.0%	8
New industrial uses	45.0%	9
Water quality/quantity issues	5.0%	1
Uncertainty of future land use	30.0%	6
Negative impacts from Crown land use	10.0%	2
Other (please specify)	55.0%	11
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>20</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- I like it the way things are
- Allow chickens, encourage food supply locally
- Cannabis operations that use their own well instead of our community water system
- provincial public access area and lack of parking, road maintenance and safety
- we don't need any more FARCES like the ALR
- see below
- Air b&b is fine as long as the owners are present - no party houses. NO INDUSTRIAL USE OF LAND
- Concern for the use of lands adjacent to Schroeder Creek, which should be a protected waterway.
- Need more tourist accommodations...airBnb

- Don't bring the city to the country.
- Government oversight/intrusion.

#### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- No
- Too many regulations
- A commercial development that could use 2 or 3 contiguous lots for a condominium, retreat centre, or heliski operation would be highly undesirable
- main issues or concern facing our community are under provincial jurisdiction or building code will not be addressed by zoning.
- The ALR was a good idea but in practice it only gives tax breaks to expensive lakefront properties and has nothing to do with agriculture. Before you go planning anything else, you should completely revise the ALR and either make it meaningful or make it gone.
- In Schroeder Point community, we have a centralized property that contains the following building equipment: a 20+ foot trailer, a bobcat, a hoe, a large commercial dump truck. The residents claim these industrial items are for building an accessory building (this build is now starting it's 2nd year) and now are planning on constructing a residence next year. My concerns are: 1. All of the listed units have been stored IN their yard and are visible to all properties surrounding them. If they start construction on a house next year, these items could possibly be stored for 4-5 years! They built a large shop and so no sign of eliminating the machinery from their property...neighbors suspect commercial development or possible a commercial enterprise on the property. 2. Extreme eyesore for the neighborhood!
- Noise, unsightliness and traffic are also big concerns
- There has already been "modifications" done at the spillway from Schroeder Creek into Kootenay Lake. Priority of maintaining Schroeder Creek to remain natural and not disturbing it with developments is a major concern.
- Do not want to see any future industrial or commercial uses and too many long term rentals-or Airbnb's . We cannot predict the future but our current restrictive covenants would already prevent this if adopted as our bylaws.
- Consult with your neighbours before starting a project. Open friendly neighbourly communication

#### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	57.9%	11
Agree	5.3%	1
Disagree	15.8%	3
Strongly Disagree	5.3%	1
No Opinion	15.8%	3
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>19</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

#### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	63.2%	12
Important	5.3%	1
Slightly Important	10.5%	2
Not Important	10.5%	2
No Opinion	10.5%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>19</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	25.0%	5
Agree	45.0%	9
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	25.0%	5
No Opinion	5.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>20</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- No
- ALR, encouraging food security
- Yes, I prepared 10 questions that were submitted to Aimee Watson thru Schroeder Point resident [REDACTED] \* personal information
- The information provided is not balanced. Need better information about implications of zoning for small community - both pros and cons - to enable community members to make informed decisions.
- Yes. On behalf of our entire community, I gathered questions and have forwarded them to RDCK. We understand that the questions will be answered in August.
- I would like to see zoning adopted in this area to prevent the situation as described previously. It's ridiculous and the owners are actively campaigning against zoning. (and also spreading false narratives regarding zoning and tax implications.)
- We think that having gone through the Official Community Plan process and essentially having zero effect on this survey (=even to document how values change over time) very discouraging.
- Bylaws added to the land use planning, how we can cater those to our wants/desires as a community.
- Under Land Use bylaw 2435 and 2016 under Community Specific Policies, are these enforceable?
- Can it be community specific land use and bylaws???



- Can we adopt our restricted covenants as our bylaws? Who writes bylaws and can we make our own. Can we have single residential zoning with no bylaws? Can it be confirmed that taxes will not increase with zoning?
- Does a restrictive covenant supersede land use planning?
- No, do not want zoning.

### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	40.0%	8
No	40.0%	8
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	5.0%	1
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	5.0%	1
Other (please specify)	10.0%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>20</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- The building and restrictive covenants needs to stay in place regarding resident who bought 20 years ago. No new time restrictions, what is allowed to be parked on a lot. For example, if a part time RV trailer is parked on a lot is not allowed then a full time resident shouldn't be as well....I think this is better held at the Schroeder Creek homers level...
- No but open to discussion with my community.

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?


Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	15.0%	3
More engagement to hear from community members	15.0%	3
Begin the land use planning process for my community	35.0%	7
No further action	25.0%	5
Other (please specify)	10.0%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>20</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- meaningful consultation with all affected community members with more balanced and objective information about the full implications of zoning - pros and cons
- Redo or abolish ALR

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- Reduce paper use

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	95.0%	19
No	5.0%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>20</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- Increase in zoning doesn't effect our issues, parking, bored neighbours.
- Reduce, reduce, reduce
- I believe that communities discover too late that land planning is needed after a problem has developed with one or more property owners.
- Zoning is not practical or well suited to a small rural community like Schroeder - we are not a city or village. It is an excessive and disproportionate amount of regulation for a small subdivision like ours. It is not an effective tool for the needs of our small community - it is excessive and unnecessary and I am very concerned it will change the character of our community and many of the positive things that brought

many residents to the area. I am concerned zoning will lead to many unintended consequences, inequality, resentment and divisiveness. Our community's concerns and objectives are better addressed through other less restrictive and divisive means that are more cooperative and community based. I am also very concerned about the effectiveness, fairness and equality of the consultation process, including this survey. I am very concerned that only a few voices are being heard and that many voices who are very affected by this will not be reached or heard from or be given appropriate information to enable them to provide their views or make an informed decision.

- We have lived in harmony at Schroeder Creek for decades, through dialogue and communication between neighbours. We all understand that if someone proposed an industrial or commercial development at Schroeder, all landowners are bound by a Restrictive Covenant registered against all titles, which could be used to prevent the unwanted use. That RC has proven to be an effective deterrent to any restricted use. Many people fear that land use planning/zoning will create divisive issues that don't currently exist in our community. The proponents mistakenly believe that it will serve as an enforcement mechanism for "parking on public roads" or "controlling the public lake access area", so those misconceptions have to be addressed in an ongoing education process.
- Quit assuming we all have 24 x7 internet access. We don't
- There seems to be a bit of "political" agendas by those who do not want zoning. THE ONES WHO DON'T WANT IT ARE THE ONES WHO WILL PUSH THE RULES. THIS IS WHY WE NEED RULES! YES TO ZONING!!!!
- It is very important that land use planning be viewed as a process facilitated by RDCK and driven by the residents - not the other way round. The process must be a series of meetings that allow for viewpoints without decisions or positions to become entrenched. We live here because we enjoy the lack of authority. How we want to live should be defined by us talking and deciding our future together. Face to face meetings are a must.
- Some kind of functional zoning needs to be in place to replace a restrictive covenant that is not practically enforceable.
- I would like to see all the community members informed about tax implications and bylaw policies as it would pertain to our community. There seems to be a lot of misinformation given out by those who do NOT want land use planning. It's become quite political (people taking sides against. There is definitely a campaign going on for those who are against it!!!!
- I feel there is a lot of misinformation among community members about what land use planning entails. It's frustrating to those of us who understand it.
- Need more specific community planning and information
- If we were to rezone I believe it should be a majority to pass. Our community is too small to have it otherwise. It would cause great disconnect among members as some are strongly opposed and no many are strongly supportive. Most home owners here moved here to get away from being directly governed like a city and do not want all these extra rules and regulations. Just want a quiet, respectful, kind community which we have now. Everybody watches out for one another and helps one another. Zoning will cause many conflicts. Our community is not ready for this at this time. It will not solve our two largest problems which is parking on road from excess public access parking for those who use it to get across the lake or launch boat on day trips and the extra traffic it causes and strangers coming into the community.
- We need to protect what we have.
- Neighbourly communication best tool to move forward. IMHO
- I think communities are able to handle their own issues without government involvement.

## Shutty Bench

12 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	75.0%	9
Pedestrian friendly	16.7%	2
Intact natural environment	75.0%	9
Rural character (low density)	41.7%	5
Residential use only	33.3%	4
Neighbourliness	0.0%	0
Access to Kootenay Lake	50.0%	6
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>12</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- ALR was a good idea but ended up a ridiculous mess. All it does is give high value waterfront properties a tax break. Redo or remove the ALR

#### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
	Percentage	Count
Traffic	16.7%	2
Noise	41.7%	5
Un sightliness	41.7%	5
Increased density	33.3%	4
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	25.0%	3
New commercial uses	8.3%	1
New industrial uses	8.3%	1
Water quality/quantity issues	58.3%	7
Uncertainty of future land use	16.7%	2
Negative impacts from Crown land use	25.0%	3
Other (please specify)	25.0%	3
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>12</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- An example of misuse is the recent issue of a gravel pit opening up within Kaslo limits - seems this shouldn't be allowed given the stark contrast to the surrounding area and development.
- Continued infringement imposed by escalation of more and more "bylaws", building codes and restrictions relating to actual structures/buildings. It is becoming way too onerous and complicated with priorities of others thrust upon us by the few.
- Mountain bike groups are laying trails without authorization, leaving garbage, etc.

**Question 4**

Would you like to tell us more?

- See above
- As long as I adhere to building codes I should be able to build whatever I want on my property without others telling me I have to add thousands of dollars of costs relating to high efficiency items that are still expensive and not totally established yet in terms of design etc.

**Question 5**

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Percentage	Count
Strongly Agree	25.0%	3
Agree	25.0%	3
Disagree	33.3%	4
Strongly Disagree	8.3%	1
No Opinion	8.3%	1
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>12</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

**Question 6**

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	33.3%	4
Important	16.7%	2
Slightly Important	33.3%	4
Not Important	16.7%	2
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>12</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

#### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	16.7%	2
Agree	25.0%	3
Disagree	25.0%	3
Strongly Disagree	16.7%	2
No Opinion	16.7%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>12</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

#### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- Remove the restrictions on land use in areas under control by agricultural use, as far as building for family expansion is concerned, considering that many areas are not used agriculturally any longer.

#### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	25.0%	3
No	41.7%	5
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	33.3%	4
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>12</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?


Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	18.2%	2
More engagement to hear from community members	9.1%	1
Begin the land use planning process for my community	9.1%	1
No further action	45.5%	5
Other (please specify)	18.2%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>11</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

Other:

- I rarely have issues with individual development of a residential property. What intake issue with is the constant battle we have with crown land tenures for things such as heli skiing or mountain biking etc. and their encroachment on all of OUR land. We should be able to say no to these developments yet despite public engagement they always seem to get the green light
- abandon any land use planning not already in place. Abandon or redo ALR

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- You really need to realize many of us have no access to internet due to our location

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	16.7%	2
No	83.3%	10
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>12</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- I find the restrictions to expand building for family within agricultural reserves not acceptable and would like a review on the reality of this situation.
- Please concentrate on mandates you already have. It seems like you folks are always looking at doing more yet have not adequately addressed the issues you were asked to remedy in the past.
- Don't fix what isn't broken
- Leave it as is and cut the staff at the Regional District Office
- DID NOT KNOW THERE WERE REMOTE MEETINGS BEING HELD. NO NOTIFICATION. WE DONT NEED MORE GOVERNMENT OR RESTRICTIONS, JUST PROTECT AND MAINTAIN THE ENVIRONMENT WE ENJOY TODAY
- Policies, regulations and land use planning are a must for a healthy community and the RDCK has done a good job documenting them. Unfortunately, the random enforcement of same has made them completely ineffective.



## Woodbury

16 responses received.

### Community Values

These questions help us understand the important characteristics of different communities and the pressures they may be experiencing. These questions were asked in the community conversations held March and April 2021, and the most common responses were used to form the multiple choice questions below.

#### Question 1

What are the elements of your community that matter most to you and that you would not want to see changed? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Quiet	75.0%	12
Pedestrian friendly	12.5%	2
Intact natural environment	62.5%	10
Rural character (low density)	50.0%	8
Residential use only	50.0%	8
Neighbourliness	6.3%	1
Access to Kootenay Lake	37.5%	6
Other (please specify)	12.5%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- noise of barking dogs/and start time, chain saws, lawn mowers
- Respect for private property rights

#### Question 2

Would you like to tell us more?

- All of the things above are highly valued by my husband and I. Sorry--had to choose four.
- That if the community grows - that it expand in a generally common sense manner and in scale.
- The longer I live in this special part of the world, the more I appreciate the natural wonder of it all. And, the more I want to help protect it. This does not mean I don't want to see an increased population or development. It does mean that I want to see these changes happen in a way that respects the integrity of our water, forested lands, and air. I want to see the conversation of our responsibility to be stewards of this land become normalized and that responsibility become a baseline for our community development.
- We wouldn't want to see this area develop into a Shuswap or Okanagan lake situation. High population density, busy and noisy watercraft.
- Commercial/ industrial use OK unless noisy, smelly, polluting, etc.
- We are at Woodbury because of the lake influences and public beach. We like to be left alone to do our own things.
- Current Access to lake should not be changed

### Question 3

What are the issues or concerns regarding land use you see in your community today or want to avoid in the future? Please select up to 3 of your top choices.

Answer Choices	Responses	
Traffic	31.3%	5
Noise	68.8%	11
Unsightliness	18.8%	3
Increased density	6.3%	1
Tourist accommodation (i.e. AirBnb, Camping, Resort etc)	31.3%	5
New commercial uses	12.5%	2
New industrial uses	25.0%	4
Water quality/quantity issues	25.0%	4
Uncertainty of future land use	31.3%	5
Negative impacts from Crown land use	12.5%	2
Other (please specify)	18.8%	3
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Everything is good. Only have concerns of a social nature ie. gossip/bullying by [REDACTED] \* personal information that seem to plan for their own interests rather than look toward a great community for future generations, etc.
- The above noted ideas are leading and simplistic. They are all negative and will elicit negative responses of course.
- All of the above are of great concern

### Question 4

Would you like to tell us more?

- I'm quite concerned about our community beach in Woodbury. It is of high importance to those in the community with high usage. There is our pumphouse there, the waterpipe for our water--plus the fibre optic cable for KIN are all there. There should be special protections for this area's infrastructure.
- To have a friendly and good neighboured community very important, not to have community issues divide, or rile up discord...vey good reason to have land use bylaws.
- Newcomers have already made changes which impact the quality of life for others. Ridiculously bright security lights, laying tracks/rails in the water in order to accommodate a motorized boat on shore below the high water mark. Was a permit secured for this addition to the lakeshore? If so make this information known to the local residents.
- The CAC needs to be reminded that the community beach is crown. r the water system but unsure if it should be gated. Residents and the public have expressed that they feel violated when questioned as to their lot number, where they live when they go to the public beach by CAC members. Fires are discouraged on the beach, however these are allowed on crown land unless there is a ban and the beach is the safest place for a community fire or family get together.
- Let's not turn this into a "bitch" session. The way the survey is drawn you will undoubtedly get a lot. The more educated people will have suggestions to correct any serious complaints.

- To much of rdck being in your face they want total control of every thing and every body no thanks

### Question 5

Managing new development and growth effectively should be an important priority in your community:

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	68.8%	11
Agree	18.8%	3
Disagree	12.5%	2
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 6

How important is the need to control the types of land use and location of new development in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Important	87.5%	14
Important	0.0%	0
Slightly Important	12.5%	2
Not Important	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Land Use Planning

These questions help inform whether or not land use planning should be looked at further in Area D and what decisions on next steps should be.

### Question 7

Do you feel you have enough information about land use planning to tell us whether you think it would be useful in your community?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Strongly Agree	20.0%	3
Agree	80.0%	12
Disagree	0.0%	0
Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0
No Opinion	0.0%	0
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>15</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>

### Question 8

Is there anything you want to learn more about with respect to land use planning?

- I understand this is a slow process...as it should be. I want to see as many people involved as possible, so encourage as many avenues as possible for people to become aware and involved as possible.
- Respect for private property rights. No not trespass without permission for recreational use. Do not trespass onto neighbours B and B property and become hostile or telephone her when her guests use the public beach. Beachfront owners do not own the foreshore.

### Question 9

Do you support land use planning in Area D?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	62.5%	10
No	6.3%	1
Maybe - I need to discuss with my neighbours	0.0%	0
Maybe – I need to learn more	12.5%	2
Maybe – We need time as a community to explore this further	6.3%	1
Other (please specify)	12.5%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

Other:

- Was disappointed that a recording of the initial online meeting/discussion was not available for others to hear to build on concerns/ discussion in a positive way.
- I think a general set of values and a flexible approach to development is best so we don't shoot ourselves in the foot. Of course a view to the future should involve a degree of planning but people will tend to want to control other's plans out of self-interest and not for the good of the community.

### Question 10

What actions, if any, would you want the RDCK to take after this questionnaire with respect to land use planning?

Answer Choices	Responses	
More education about what land use planning is	20.0%	3
More engagement to hear from community members	20.0%	3
Begin the land use planning process for my community	46.7%	7
No further action	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	13.3%	2
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>15</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>1</b>


Other:

- All is residential, don't see a need except for a business that may be loud, lots of traffic, etc.
- IN Woodbury there is no need for any further action. The sky is not falling! There are major businesses which have existed for a long time. There are permanent and seasonal residences and a few B&Bs which

harm nobody because the tourists are generally more respectable than some residents. Future developments are small and far between and pose no real problems for traffic or noise.

### Question 11

What are the best ways to notify you and communicate the results of the questionnaire and next steps in the process (if any)?



Other:

- Make it impossible not to know that this review is taking place.
- Need to encourage newbies to get involved. Seems to always be the same self interested group of elders who no longer swim, sit on beach, etc. I would like to see a positive community for all ages, people in the future who may need to have a home based business, etc.

### Question 13

Did you attend the Community Conversations held in March and April 2021?

Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes	56.3%	9
No	43.8%	7
	<b>Answered</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>Skipped</b>	<b>0</b>

### Question 14

Is there anything else you would like to tell us about land use planning in Area D?

- Since it is pretty much non existent, I think we need to begin the first steps.

- Many basic community issues will be common to most communities, but each community is also likely to have particular issues unique to their community, example public beach use, another may be a new subdivision coming.
- noise bylaws are very important to me. With that it has been our experience (in other areas) take the barking dog bylaw needs to be strong. Bylaw officer needs to help the people that report barking dogs more aggressively.
- I see this as a great opportunity for people to be heard and to help determine what our will look like going forward...so much change occurring, we should be talking and planning together.
- I was unable to attend the remote meetings. I watched the meeting on youtube. Maybe realtors could offer some sort of welcome package to new owners providing the do's and don'ts of the area. How to be a good and respectful neighbour!!
- No. Thanks to the RDCK for their efforts. Need to get more community involvement to structure for diverse community needs. CAC needs to share their recommendations so others can comment. Tend to be secretive.
- I don't know much about the issues in other parts of Area D but in Woodbury Village there are no real land use planning issues. There is a small rural subdivision going in which will result in a new and improved upper road for the residences along that road. Ultimately there will be a few more families to enjoy the Lake and Woodbury public beach. The [REDACTED] \* personal information concern (and the couple of old-timers who support them) about the beach is self-serving, as their property adjoins the public beach area and they would like to control it. Public beach is for everyone, kids, families, tourists, young and old. During the online meeting people complained about fires on the beach. However, this is perhaps the safest place for a fire, weiner roast etc. Some of the old residents want to control everything and everyone.
- We need to move quickly in order to curtail the number of people that can be at any one Air-BNB (max 6), that the visitors stay on the owner's property when in the village, the own of property must be present at all times. Prevent any tenting or camping areas in the neighborhood. No commercial or industrial business in the neighborhood
- Your bi-law officer control sucks your building permit wait is ridiculous
- It would be very nice if night lighting could be regulated in such a way that current bright neighbourhood lights would be kept low and restricted mostly to one property.
- In this time of fairly large numbers of people moving to the kootenays, it is vital to get land use planning in area D